

VZCZCXYZ0009
RR RUEHWEB

DE RUEHC #2933 2812049
ZNY CCCCC ZZH ZDS
R 021829Z OCT 09
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO RUEHJB/AMEMBASSY BUJUMBURA 2906
RUEHDR/AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM 6929
RUEHKM/AMEMBASSY KAMPALA 6472
RUEHLGB/AMEMBASSY KIGALI 7582
RUEHNR/AMEMBASSY NAIROBI 3321

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 102933

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y (DOWNGRADING CLASSIFICATION AND
CHGING PORTION MARK'N IN PARA 1)

NOFORN
SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 10/02/2034

TAGS: [PINR](#) [BY](#) [KE](#) [RW](#) [TZ](#) [UG](#)

SUBJECT: (U) EAC TRADE NEGOTIATIONS (C-AL9-02031)

Classified By: MICHAEL P. OWENS, ACTING DIR, INR/OPS. REASON:
1.4(C).

1. (C/NF) WASHINGTON ANALYSTS ARE INTERESTED IN THE EAST AFRICAN COMMUNITY'S (EAC) TRADE NEGOTIATIONS IN KAMPALA, UGANDA THAT TOOK PLACE ON SEPTEMBER 24 AND 25. ANALYSTS PLAN TO WRITE ABOUT THE NEGOTIATIONS, AND WOULD APPRECIATE ANY REPORTING ON THE TOPICS BELOW, POSTS' TIME AND RESOURCES PERMITTING.

1A. (C) WHICH COUNTRY TOOK CHARGE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS?

1B. (C) WHICH COUNTRY, IF ANY, WAS BLOCKING OR HINDERING THE NEGOTIATIONS, AND WHY?

1C. (C) WHAT WERE THE NEGOTIATING POSITIONS OF EACH COUNTRY?

1D. (C) WHO WAS LEADING THE NEGOTIATIONS FROM EACH COUNTRY?

1E. (C) WHAT WERE THE KEY TOPICS UNDER DISCUSSION?

1F. (C) WHICH TOPICS WERE THE MOST CONTENTIOUS? WHY?

1G. (C) WHICH TOPICS WERE THE EASIEST TO AGREE UPON? WHY?

1H. (C) WHAT WAS THE RESOLUTION OF THE NEGOTIATING SESSION?

1I. (C) WHEN AND WHERE WILL THE NEXT SESSION BE?

12. (U) PLEASE CITE C-AL9-02031 IN THE SUBJECT LINE OF REPORTING IN RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE QUESTIONS.

CLINTON